

Questions	1	2
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	Land Use Concept B.jpg	Land Use Concept A.jpg
Q1: Additional		Land Use Concept D.jpg
Q1: Other		
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	Intermountain would prefer a 1000' buffer and have similar neighbors to the existing land use. Agriculture would also be positive for our concerns.	I like minimizing the industrial designation as I believe the further out you make the industrial designation around the Intermountain facility, the more likely my land is de-valued.
Q3: Notes/Follow-up		

Questions	3	4
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	Land Use Concept D.jpg	
Q1: Additional		
Q1: Other	Other (please specify): It seems that all Concepts deal with Intermountain Gas. What about the additional traffic that additional industrial activity would involve??? Also sad that the properties involved do not even have access to the gas at this time.	Other (please specify)If the purpose, or at least part of the purpose, is to create a sound buffer distance, then NONE of the future land use concepts shown in this survey with the 1000 ft. buffer ring around the LNG plant will succeed. Our home is further out than 1000 ft and we can hear the tank releasing pressure and the alarms sounding all too often. Plus it is so illuminated at night, it feels like we live next to Las Vegas. I understand the need for the tank and the ground below to be lit up at night for the workers, but planting tall trees around the perimeter would help reduce the annoyance to neighbors and help add an additional sound barrier. None of the samples presented in this survey match what was presented at the meeting on Feb. 27, 2017.
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	While change is inevitable, it seems like a lot of time and \$ is being spent on something that is so far in the future.	I did not select ANY of the presented choices.
Q3: Notes/Follow-up		

Questions	5	6
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	Land Use Concept D.jpg	Land Use Concept A.jpg
Q1: Additional		Land Use Concept B.jpg
Q1: Other		
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	Respondent skipped this question	A & B are the only two options that provide the full 1000 foot buffer to the south.
Q3: Notes/Follow-up		

Questions	7	8
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	Land Use Concept A.jpg	Land Use Concept A.jpg
Q1: Additional		
Q1: Other		
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	mixed use and non-residential for all should be the preferred use- allow light industrial	Respondent skipped this question
Q3: Notes/Follow-up		

Questions	9	10
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	Land Use Concept B.jpg	Land Use Concept D.jpg
Q1: Additional		
Q1: Other		Concept D from adopted map, Mar,2017. ??? Why restrict planning to Fields Focus excluding the Field Dist. Beneficial to landowners to view the whole picture. What influence does Growing Together have in the planning process.If none,why then is private group shown on city planning process?
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	It protects future use of the area and will put fewer people at risk.	Prefer medium residential designation.
Q3: Notes/Follow-up		Follow-up: Spoke by phone to clarify comments on 5/1/2017. To clarify comments, owner does not mind the designation changing across the Phyllis Canal, but does not want any changes on their property along east side of canal. Intends to sell someday and wants to protect their asset. Recognizes that some of the area may be used for required open space and buffers, but the area is still quite large.

Questions	11
Q1: Which FUTURE Land Use Concept most resonates with you? Multiple selections may be made.	
Q1: Additional	
Q1: Other	Own several properties in area, and strongly encourages concept with least impact on development potential of their property. Additionally, want their property to be medium density residential and not low density residential. Feel as though the low density designation puts them at a disadvantage.
Q2: What did you like or dislike about the choice(s) you selected above?	
Q3: Notes/Follow-up	Note: Stakeholder did not participate in survey, but provided comments separately